## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.    | Applicant(s)  |
|--------------------|---------------|
| 10/523,021         | MOSER, MARTIN |
| Examiner           | Art Unit      |
| MATTHEW S. LINDSEY | 2453          |

|   | MATTHEW S. LINDSET   | 2400   |   |  |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear   | s on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add                                   | ress                                      |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>14 December 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.   |  |  |   |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:   | ng replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>se of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c   | idavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C      | ce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)             |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of   |  |  |   |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv<br>no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late  | er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing  | g date of the final rejecti                          | on.                                       |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706   |  | E FIRST REPLY WAS F                                  | ILED WITHIN                               |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exterunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL   | which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1<br>nsion and the corresponding amount<br>ortened statutory period for reply orig | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliant filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water MAMENDMENTS</li> </ol>  | ion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th                                |   |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)   | ideration and/or search (see NO  |  | ecause                                    |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or  |  | ducing or simplifying                                | the issues for                            |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a co<br>NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   | rresponding number of finally rej  | ected claims.  |   |  |
| <ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _</li> </ul>  |  | mpliant Amendment                                    | (PTOL-324).                               |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   | wable if submitted in a separate,  | timely filed amendme                                 | ent canceling the                         |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <a href="mailto:119.and.22">1-19.and.22</a> .  |  | II be entered and an e                               | explanation of                            |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |  |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but to<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and swas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   | sufficient reasons why the affidav   | rit or other evidence is                             | necessary and                             |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and the state of the s | ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>and was not earlier presented. S  | al and/or appellant fa<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(        | ls to provide a<br>l).                    |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attacr                              | iea.                                      |  |
| <ul> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but a See Attachment to Advisory Action.</li> </ul>  | does NOT place the application in  | n condition for allowar                              | nce because:                              |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (P   | TO/SB/08) Paper No(s).   |  |   |  |
| 13. ☑ Other: See Notice of References Cited.  | ,  |  |   |  |
| /Krista M. Zele/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2453  |  |  |   |  |